3/30/70

Dear Mary (Paul, Gary),

Shucks, couldn't I say anything nice about Judy without myself being a liar. Gettin' harder n'harder to be a gen'l'mun, or seem like it.

Aside from your own interpretation of who and what is involved in her book, I think you'll get no pleasure from it. It is really pretty terrible. If the stations airing her do such things, let me know and I'll write and ask for time for adequate response, if you think the book may influence things or people against you there. Otherwise, keep a record of the broadcasts of which you know and if and when I'm in Dallas again, I'll ask for time. A quiet shredding of the book and author are childsplay. I've got my copy annotated so that, with a half hour's notice, I could do an hour with no sweat.

Assuming my phone is working which it hasn't been for two days now.

Suppose she told the truth, that when she started her book she got no police cooperation (meaning either departmental or members as individuals). Does this not say something about the final product, since she so obviously got everything she has from them? But if she started with this "handicap", what did she give as her purposes? I have a hunch she is looking for p.r. business and may get it, having these excellent credentials as a professional sycophant.

My chief interest in the tapes is to help you, so I'm in no rush. There ordinarily should be no code on these frequencies, so one possible guess would be that someone was using different frequencies with the sound being piked up. There is little likelihood code would be used for any communication under these conditions, or for purposes connected with the operation. Hill has been an identical interest of mine from the first glance I had at the first log. It cannot be avoided.

After you read this obscenity, I'd be interested in your evaluation of the comments I made, for you know the locale as I do not. And, in anything you'd add along the same line, if you agree.

I've recently been in areas I do not care to go into by mail and I know of no direct interest you have in any of them. However, with one, let me tell you this: if you come accross information the Secret Service should have and cannot find it, and if it seems as though it could be significant, check with Gary and me to see if we know of it and if we do not I may be able to carry it further. Especially if there are indications it was a)known to the Commission or should have been and b) is not in the Archives.

I'm not going to look up my letter on Bonner, but didn't I say something like prettier than the other finks, like Schiller, Smith, Roberts, etc? You know, I have the feeling I saw her when I was in Dallas.

I also have Bringuier's book, and it is like reading Alice in Wonderland while on a bad trip. He is the first man of whom I know to make a successful career of unrelieved stupidity. To call him insane would be to defame the ill. But as far as I've gone, he has spilled no beans. This is what I'd hoped. The one major booboo I'd discovered in the morgue of the N.O. papers. He uses the same clip, to say he had four contacts with LHO. Count what he may have acknowledged: the fracas, the court and the debate. What is the fourth? I am delighted with his revelation that Oswald laughed when telling him, in a friendly way, not to train guerrillas from that book, which certainly confirms the belief I have held from the very beginning.

Best regards,